IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR

FRIDAY, THE 16TH NOVEMBER 2007 / 25TH KARTHIKA 1929

RP.No. 975 of 2007(V)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN WPC.2281/2007 Dated 08/03/2007
.....

REVIEW PETITIONER/PETITIONER

M/S.UNITED TYRES, AISWARYA COMPLEX,
BYE-PASS ROAD, CHALAKUDY, THRISSUR DISTRICT,
REP. BY ITS MANAGING PARTNER K.J.SEBASTIAN.

BY ADV. SRI.KOSHY GEORGE
SRI.P.I.DAVIS

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENTS
---

1. THE STATE OF KERALA, REP. BY THE
SECRETARY, TAXES DEPARTMENT,
GOVT.SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE COMMISSIONER, COMMERCIAL TAXES,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. COMMERCIAL TAX OFFICER, OFFICE OF THE
COMMERCIAL TAX OFFICER, VAT CIRCLE,
CHALAKUDY, THRISSUR DISTRICT.

4. COMMERCIAL ASST.INSPECTOR,
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTING ASST.COMMISSIONER,
COMMERCIAL TAX CHECK POST, WALAYAR - 678 624.

BY SR.GOVT. PLEADER SRI.R.LAKSHMINARAYAN.

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 16/11/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR, J.

R.P. NO.975/2007 IN

W.P.(C) NO.2281 OF 2007

ORDER

The petitioner seeks review of the judgment in W.P.(C) No.2281/2007, which happened to be disposed of along with a batch of cases on 8.3.2007. The point that arises for decision in this case was not the point that arose in the said batch of cases. Therefore, the writ petition was wrongly clubbed with the said batch of cases and disposed of. So, the petitioner seeks review of the judgment.

2. I heard the learned Government Pleader for the respondents also. The contentions of the petitioner are correct. The writ petition happened to be disposed of along with the other cases by oversight. Accordingly, the R.P is allowed. The judgment in W.P.(C) No.2281/2007 is recalled and the writ petition is restored to file.

16th November, 2007. **K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR, JUDGE**.

Nm/